

Recovery Team meeting MINUTES

South-eastern Glossy Black-Cockatoo



Date: Wednesday 19 March, 2025

Time: 10.30am - 11.30am (QLD time)

Members in attendance

Firstname	Surname	Organisation	Attendance?
Daniella	Teixeira	Glossy Black Conservancy + Queensland University of Technology	Y
Samantha	Morris	Glossy Black Conservancy	apology
Lauren	Hook	NSW Dept of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water	Y
Guy	Castley	Griffith University	Y
Trish	Mooney	Chair, SA Glossy Black-Cockatoo Recovery Team	Y
Karleah	Berris	SA Glossies	apology
Gabriel	Crowley	Adelaide University	apology
Gabriel	Conroy	University Sunshine Coast	Y
Mike	Barth	Glossy Black Conservancy	Y
Hunter	McCall	QLD Department of Environment, Tourism, Science and Innovation	apology
Belinda	Rossack	VIC Department of Energy, Environment and Climate Action	Y
Matt	Cameron	NSW Dept of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water	Y

Invited observers in attendance

Elli	Webb	Glossy Black Conservancy	Y
Tim	McGrath	AG Department of Climate Change, Energy, Environment & Water	apology
Adrian	Caneris	Glossy Black Conservancy	Y
Eloise	Dowd	AG Department of Climate Change, Energy, Environment & Water	apology

Meeting minutes for Wednesday 19 March 2025

1. Acknowledgement of Country	
2. Check in to ensure everyone is on track to review the first three sections Comments re section 2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Karleah and Trish: two major complications, variety within the species range, and lack of knowledge. Suggests breaking it up into 7-10 sub groups. Easy to present in table form. Will highlight differences in the knowledge gaps. • Editing is occurring on the plan prior to discussion around key threats. Trish suggests having a recovery team meeting devoted to gaining some consensus around some of these issues first. • Mike - even the KI plan was broken into regions. Agrees it makes sense to regionalise the plan. There are definitely large knowledge gaps. • Dani - do we know enough about each region to justify having specific recommendations regionally. Into could be spread to regions.. • Matt - how we implement actions on-ground. By planning we identify threats along the way. Supports the notion to discuss threats separately. Need to be careful of making blanket statements that aren't correct across the range. Need to focus on how the plan is going to be used. • Lauren - We could put a list of general threats in the document for local areas to self assess like Matt said. With more detail for each area could be in an appendix table. • Gabe - Eg. within SEQ you could look at each council region. Less generic outcome will be more useful to end users. • Guy - do need a nuanced response to recommendations. Suggested developing a data matrix to capture regional threats. Could share it online for people to populate with local knowledge. • Matt - Maybe we need to redirect the birding days to focus on identification of juveniles - put an emphasis on training to identify juveniles. Need to get the timing of these days right to get good information. 	
3. Eloise could fund an in-person workshop in SEQ - April/May <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Could discuss matters that require high level discussion. Eg. threat prioritisation • Sam will facilitate • Matt - A second possible topic for a workshop, in addition to threats, would be delivery mechanisms i.e. how will we achieve improvements in the status of populations. • Gabe - great idea, workshops can push things ahead if well prepped. • Belinda - it would be good to participate online if possible • Trish - is possible • Lauren - It sounds like a good idea. If I know dates and it doesn't clash with already booked fieldwork then I would be able to make it. 	
4. Australian Government tender opportunity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Recovery team, via the Conservancy has been offered the opportunity to submit a tender to complete feed species vegetation mapping across the whole subspecies range. 	ACTION:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Report/Mapping is due Mid July at the latest• Trish - mapping of feeding habitat and nesting habitat would be a move forward.• Dani - yes and we have that in SEQ. But I was hoping to do more robust mapping which includes more variables. What is habitat critical to survival??• Mike - is there mapping that shows old growth vs regrowth (logged) forest? Can we use funds to identify the data gaps?• Gabe - RE mapping does that to a degree. Rushing to spend the money to generate something that doesn't show what we need it to could be detrimental.• Lauren - Is there preliminary work that could be done to support future modelling? Aka bringing all the info together across the states as a baseline for the future habitat critical to survival modeling.• Matt - Can we use the money for something tangential i.e. measuring nutrient values of seed for different species/regions - this will flow into critical habitat mapping (which will ultimately be about how much habitat do populations need) | |
|--|--|